

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

No. 21-1555C

(Filed: July 9, 2021)  
NOT FOR PUBLICATION

_____	)	
IRA JEROME ROSS,	)	
	)	
Pro Se Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Pro Se; Prison Litigation Reform Act;
v.	)	28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); Three-Strikes
	)	Bar.
THE UNITED STATES,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

## **ORDER DIRECTING PAYMENT OF FEE**

Pro se plaintiff, who is incarcerated at the Allen Correctional Center in Kinder, Louisiana, filed a complaint and motion to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) in this court. See ECF No. 1 (complaint); ECF No. 2 (motion). Plaintiff's complaint alleges that employees of the United States Department of Justice and United States Federal Bureau of Investigations

violated his Equal Protection rights under the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution and 28 U.S.C. § 1981 when after writing U.S. Attorney General William Barr at the U.S. Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigations between the years 2019 and 2021[,] about investigating and filing criminal charges in-violation of Title 18 (U.S.C.) Crimes and Criminal Procedure the Attorney General and both [ ] the DOJ and FBI failed to perform their official and statutory duty under federal law.

ECF No. 1-1 at 2 (memorandum in support of complaint). Plaintiff's complaint requests the court to "compel an officer of the United States to perform a duty." Id. Furthermore, plaintiff's complaint states, that his "\$402.00 [f]iling fee is being mailed in [a] separate envelope[,] but then proceeded with submitting a motion to proceed IFP with his complaint. See ECF No. 1 at 2; ECF No. 2. As of the filing of this order, the court has not received plaintiff's filing fee in this case.

On September 4, 2019, plaintiff was barred from proceeding in forma pauperis under the three-strikes provision of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) in this court. See Ross v. United States, Case No. 19-1175C, ECF No. 5 (order denying plaintiff's IFP application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and directing payment of filing fee). Plaintiff's complaint does not invoke the "imminent danger" exception to the three-strikes bar. See Fourstar v. United States, 950 F.3d 856, 859-60 (Fed. Cir. 2019).

Since 2019, plaintiff has been on notice that he is **prohibited** from proceeding in forma pauperis and **must** pay the court's filing fees when filing a complaint in this court. Additionally, this court has consistently held this prohibition. See Ross v. United States, Case No. 20-410C, ECF No. 6 (Apr. 14, 2020 order denying IFP); Ross v. United States, Case No. 20-1306C, ECF No. 7 (Oct. 2, 2020 order denying IFP); Ross v. United States, Case No. 21-1460C, ECF No. 6 (Jun. 14, 2021 order denying IFP). The court finds it inexcusable for plaintiff to file a complaint in this court without paying the applicable filing fee.

For these reasons, plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF No. 2, is **DENIED**. On or before **July 30, 2021**, plaintiff is directed to **PAY** the court's required \$402.00 filing fee. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute. See RCFC 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith  
PATRICIA E. CAMPBELL-SMITH  
Judge